SUMMARY INFORMATION

Respondent's Name	ESB GT	
Type of Stakeholder Generator		
Contact name (for any queries)	Paraic Higgins	
Contact Email Address	Paraic.higgins@esb.ie	
Contact Telephone Number	01 7027119	

CAPACITY MARKET CODE MODIFICATIONS CONSULTATION COMMENTS:

	Proposed Modification and its	Impacts Not Identified in the	Detailed CMC Drafting Proposed
ID	Consistency with the Code Objectives	Modification Proposal Form	to Deliver the Modification

CMC_11_18 – Long Stop Date	ESB agrees to the modification, however, the full impact on the governance of modifications and the processes as to how the RAs and TSOs will ensure that new modifications do not impact on processes that are already complete needs to be clearly specified	The consultation paper highlights that a discussion on how best to implement changes in the CMC to ensure that changes do not apply to processes that are already complete was had in the workshop (point 2.2.8) and that the RAs and SOs noted, in general, that care would need to be taken in regards to implementing changes to future auctions and the effect these could have in relation to ongoing processes (point 2.3.11). However, the consultation paper does not address these concerns nor does the modification clearly identify/assess this impact. More clarity and transparency on how the RAs intended to ensure that changes do not apply to processes that are already complete needs to be provided.	N/A
-------------------------------	---	---	-----

ID	Proposed Modification and its Consistency with the Code Objectives	Impacts Not Identified in the Modification Proposal Form	Detailed CMC Drafting Proposed to Deliver the Modification
		In terms of regulatory certainty	
		and consistency moving	
		forward with modifications, ESB	
		would welcome clarification on	
		how already completed	
		contracts/on-going processes	
		will be treated by future	
		modifications. The current	
		wording that the "RAs and SOs	
		noted, in general, that care	
		would need to be taken in	
		regards to implementing	
		changes to future auctions and	
		the effect these could have in	
		relation to ongoing processes"	
		is insufficient.	

CMC_02_18 – Tolerance Class	ESB believes the proposed modification needs to be revised to ensure it is future proofed against future SEMC decisions and thus no further modifications are needed to this modification.	If the SEMC decide in the future to allow other technologies a Dec Tol, the inclusion of "means a class, based on technology and either emission limits or limits on running hours, used for determining the Increase Tolerance and Decrease Tolerance applicable to a Generator, Generator Unit or Interconnectors" in the Glossary modification will result in a modification.As seen in the T&SC Modifications Committee, such a processes of modifying accepted modifications can become a burdensome processes. Therefore to prevent such an occurrence happening, 	ESB suggests the Glossary modification should be reworded to Add to Glossary: Tolerance Class: Tolerance Classes will be determined by the Regulatory Authorities under paragraph D.3.1.3.
--------------------------------	---	---	---

ID	Proposed Modification and its Consistency with the Code Objectives	Impacts Not Identified in the Modification Proposal Form	Detailed CMC Drafting Proposed to Deliver the Modification
		SEMC decisions (for example CMC_14_18) which should only be used as a last resort when necessary.	
CMC_04_18 – Letter of Credit	N/A	N/A	N/A

NB please add extra rows as needed.