

**From:** Emeka Chukwureh - Airtricity [<mailto:emeka.chukwureh@airtricity.com>]  
**Sent:** 16 March 2011 15:56  
**To:** Kevin Hagan; [colin.broomfield@niaur.gov.uk](mailto:colin.broomfield@niaur.gov.uk)  
**Subject:** Airtricity comments on Option for Directed Contracts 2011/'12

Kevin, Colin,

Airtricity welcomes the proposed alternative method for the DC process. Spreading out subscription opportunities throughout the year and closer to delivery allows for more informed decisions about contracts offered. We would welcome the change in the whole. However we would suggest a slight amendment to the proposal on publication of regression formulae.

While we appreciate the practical considerations informing the proposed non-publication of DC pricing regression formulae, it is still imperative for potential buyers to have the ability to validate prices offered. To facilitate this vital need we recommend that at the very least the DC pricing regression formulae is published for the first subscription opportunity (the 'annual window'). So long as the RA validated Plexos model is held same throughout the other subscription windows, it should be sufficient for buyers to attempt replication of prices at those other windows.

We have no issues with our comments been published with the RAs' decision paper.

Best regards,  
**Emeka Chukwureh**  
**Regulation**

**E:** [emeka.chukwureh@airtricity.com](mailto:emeka.chukwureh@airtricity.com)  
**W:** [www.airtricity.com](http://www.airtricity.com)  
**T:** +353 1 655 6589  
**M:** +353 87 694 3270  
**F:** +353 1 655 6444

Think green. Do you really need to print this email?

