

All Island Transmission Use of System Charging and Loss Factors Workshop

IWEA Position Caitríona Diviney 3 March 2009

The figures and information stated in this presentation are not official IWEA material and the IWEA is not responsible for any consequences commercial or otherwise arising from reliance on same

Current Situation

- TLAFs have significant bearing on the viability of generators
- TLAF volatility goes straight to the bottom line of generators
- Material **risk** to revenue
- Material effect on competitiveness
- A volatile TLAF system will diminish investment returns
- Lack of predictability will undermine investments going forward
- TLAFs significantly impacted by the appearance & disappearance of load
- In direct contradiction to the Gate 3 process of date order and central planning within GDS

2008 TLAF Values



2009 TLAF Values



2008 Vs 2011 TLAF Values



Donegal Example

- 2004 TLAF Average approx 1.068
 2005 TLAF Average approx 1.019
 2008 TLAF Average approx 0.95
 2011 TLAF Indicative average approx 0.969
- Change from 2004 to 2008 of approx 11%
- Reduces gross revenue by this factor
- Almost impossible to forecast these changes



Questions to Ask?

 Is the current TLAF situation helping to promote the efficient location of generating plant ?



Questions to Ask?

 Is the current situation supporting efficient real-time dispatch of the system or providing operating efficiencies?



Solution

 <u>Removal</u> of these non-value added location transmission connection incentives in context of strategic grid development

Benefits

- A Stable Investment Framework
- A Fair, Predictable and Transparent Operating Environment
- Consistent with the Grid Development Strategy



Thank You