SUMMARY INFORMATION

Respondent's Name	Energy Storage Ireland	
Type of Stakeholder	Energy Storage Association	
Contact name (for any queries)	Bobby Smith	
Contact Email Address	info@energystorageireland.com	
Contact Telephone Number	087 294 5958	

CAPACITY MARKET CODE MODIFICATIONS CONSULTATION COMMENTS:

ID	Proposed Modification and its Consistency with the Code Objectives	•	Detailed CMC Drafting Proposed to Deliver the Modification
CMC_09_19			
- Supplementary Interim			
Secondary Trading (Version 2)			

ESI supports this proposed modification, in particular, the modification aims to provide for situations where the participant delivers capacity that has a better derating factor without changing Technology Class. This is especially relevant for storage projects and we believe this flexibility should be allowed. A previous Modification, CMC 06 19, introduced additional flexibility to meet Awarded New Capacity obligations with Modified drafting to G.3.1.4A CMC 07 20 **Change in Technology Class for** a larger but shorter duration (lower could be carried out to allow this **Awarded New Capacity** derating factor) unit than those flexibility within technology class envisaged at qualification, within the same Technology Class. The CMC currently prevents a unit from being able to meet its Awarded New Capacity obligations with a smaller but longer duration (higher derating factor) unit than envisaged at qualification. Therefore, this mod would allow flexibility in both directions and remove the current restrictions on smaller but longer duration units. This is particularly relevant to storage and

ID	Proposed Modification and its Consistency with the Code Objectives	Impacts Not Identified in the Modification Proposal Form	Detailed CMC Drafting Proposed to Deliver the Modification
	DSUs that might achieve a significant improvement in de-rating factor by extending their duration (Maximum Down Time / Maximum On Time). As ultimately the derating methodology ensures that 1 MW of de-rated capacity is of the same value to the system and to security of supply, independent of Technology Class, Maximum Down Time, etc it does not seem logical to only enable this flexibility in one direction. The RAs minded to position is to reject the mod as they cannot see the rationale for allowing changes in technology class but at a minimum the element of the mod in relation to changes within technology class, and allowing this two-way flexibility, is something that is reasonable and should be progressed.		
CMC_08_20 - Change of Awarded Existing Capacity to Awarded New Capacity	55 p. 65. 6564.		

NB please add extra rows as needed.