

Single Electricity Market
Second PPB
Licence Consultation and
Response to Industry Comments
22 MAY 2007
AIP/SEM/07/211

Introduction

On 13 April 2007 the Regulatory Authorities published a document¹ consulting on the PPB licence conditions proposed to apply in Northern Ireland to implement the Single Electricity Market ("SEM") and European Union Directive 2003/54/EC (the "Directive"). Non-confidential comments were received from:

- Airtricity
- ESB Regulatory Affairs
- NIE plc
- Synergen
- ESBI/ESBIE

This paper gives the Authority's response to the issues raised in the first consultation by the respondents mentioned above. A second draft of the proposed PPB licence conditions to be incorporated into the new NIE Energy licence accompanies this paper.

The Regulatory Authorities would be interested to receive the views and comments of interested parties to this second consultation draft. The Regulatory Authorities intend to publish all comments received. If any respondent wishes certain sections of their submission to remain confidential they should submit these sections as an appendix marked confidential.

Comments, preferably in electronic form, should be forwarded not later than 5.00pm on the 11 June 2007 to:

Michael Campbell Northern Ireland Authority for Utility Regulation Queen's House Queen's Street Belfast.

michael.campbell@niaur.gov.uk

_

¹ Draft PPB licence conditions to facilitate the implementation of the SEM and EU Directive.

Changes to the First Consultation Draft.

General

The condition numbers have changed from the first draft and are now one greater than the previous draft so the first condition "Power Procurement" which was condition 53 is now condition 54 and so on. These number changes are as a result of changes to the overall draft NIE Energy licence which will include conditions for NIE Supply alongside PPB conditions. For the avoidance of doubt the condition numbers referred to in this paper generally and in the left hand column of the table below are the condition numbers of the first draft.

Specific

In Condition 54 paragraphs 3 to 10 of the first draft of the PSO condition have been removed. This is as a result of the decision that NIE T&D will collect all PSO revenues and formulate the PSO schedule of charges. The corresponding condition in the TO licence will be updated to reflect this decision also.

Condition 55 of the first draft ("Cost Reflective Bidding") has also been changed. These changes however are the subject of the ongoing consultation on generation licences and therefore it is unnecessary to comment on them in this paper.

There has been some redrafting of condition 57 "Intermediary Agreements" and this is discussed in the table below. Condition 59 "Independence of the Power Procurement Business" has also been redrafted. This condition provoked the most responses from industry and the Authority has deemed several changes to the condition. These and responses to the comments received are included in the table below.

Similarly condition 60 the "New Electricity Purchase Contracts" condition has been expanded to allow for contracts for differences and new power purchase agreements that PPB may have to enter into to fulfil its rights and /or obligations under an existing cancellable power purchase agreement. Paragraph 1 (c) has been included to ensure that any contracts for differences entered into by PPB do not extend beyond the earliest cancellation date of the generator unit agreements.

Condition 61 "Undue Discrimination and Undue Preference" has been redrafted and this is discussed below and some definitions namely "relevant asset" and "power purchase energy sales revenue" have been amended. Again these are discussed in the table.

Condition 63 the "Payment Security Policy" condition has had the reference to PSO revenue removed as a consequence of the PSO regulatory decision discussed above. The second draft now includes two new conditions namely PPB/TO Interface Agreement and PPB/TSO Interface Agreement. These conditions are to govern the PTIA and PSIA agreements respectively. In the same way as the "Intermediary Agreement" condition does these conditions ensure that both parties enter into and at all times comply with the agreement and that it must be initially approved by the Authority and that any subsequent amendments proposed by either party will also require Authority approval. In the event that any consequential amendments are made to the equivalent conditions in the TO and SO licences these changes will be reflected in the final PPB draft licence.

Condition 67 (in the new draft) is also a new condition and it will govern PPB's activity with regard to undirected contracts. This is at present a placeholder and the conditions that will be required as a consequence of the PPB/PES regulation policy decision will be formulated following the decision being made public.

Condition 68, which is also a new condition, has been inserted into this draft. This condition governs the determination of the PPB PSO revenue. Paragraph 2 allows that paragraph 1 will cease to have effect 12 months after SEM Go-active. This condition will come into force at Go-Active but currently it is hoped that prior to Go-Live agreement on the exact determination of PPB PSO revenue will be agreed. At that stage a revised enduring licence condition would be put in place and come into effect at SEM Go-Live.

Finally condition 69 is an economic purchase obligation on PPB which covers PPB's purchasing strategy and requires that it purchase all electricity at the best effective price. Paragraph 6 (b) ensures that PPB will not be in breach of this condition as a consequence of any Grid Code amendments.

Condition	Comment	Respondent	Regulatory Response
Condition 54	In relation to the PSO Agreement, NIE would anticipate that this would broadly seek to continue the arrangements for payment of PSO to PPB as are currently in place. NIE understands that NIAUR is considering a		The Authority has finalised the decision regarding the PSO contract. Option 1 is to be adopted with NIE T&D collecting PSO charges from all suppliers. Of the four respondents who expressed a preference three opted for either option 1 or 2. NIE felt the
	proposal to change this approach by, for example, routing all PSO collection via NIE		current arrangement should continue.
	plc, with NIE plc collecting PSO amounts due to NIE Energy on NIE Energy's behalf. There is no specific SEM or Directive reason for a change to the current approach of separate PSO collection. While ostensibly this proposal may		The Authority is sympathetic to the problem of practicalities that NIE may face with these new arrangements but feel these are far outweighed by the advantages to be gained from the option1 model as outlined below.
	appear to streamline the arrangements, it creates commercial issues for both PPB and NIE plc in terms of practicalities and in the ability to structure PSO collections appropriately. NIE does not therefore believe that it is desirable to change the current approach of separate collection of PSO revenue, and such a change is		Suppliers will be required to become party to only one contract as opposed to two. This means only one interface for suppliers and has the benefit of simplicity. It may also be possible to include the PSO as an "adder" to the Distribution Use of System agreement and include it in that contractual
	not required for (and does not appear to be otherwise facilitative of) SEM or Directive compliance. It is understood that the Authority views this approach as necessary to ensure that		relationship thus removing the need for a separate PSO contract altogether. This however has yet to be agreed by NIE.
	PPB/NIE Energy does not have access to suppliers' sales data. Under the present SEM proposals, the relevant data will be made public and therefore cannot be construed as commercially sensitive.		Suppliers will not need to have in place credit cover arrangements with PPB and T&D but T&D only.

Condition	
5/1	

The inclusion of this condition depends on whether the PPB will collect PSO revenues. Consultations are ongoing regarding the development of a common PSO methodology and benchmark within the SEM. The outcome will determine whether this condition or sections of it will remain in the PPB licence. The Regulatory Authorities are proposing three options on the method of revenue collection with a preference for either one or two:

- a single contract between either NIE T&D or PPB and suppliers;
- a multilateral contract to which T&D, PPB and suppliers are parties and
- two separate contracts (PPB and suppliers and NIE T&D and suppliers

ESB agrees with the RA's that options one or two would be more preferable to option three. Whichever of the first two options is finally chosen ESB is of the view that there should be a clear and transparent process with regulatory oversight and subject to external audit.

ESB Regulatory Affairs

This model also obviates the need for a commercial arrangement between PPB and suppliers who are competitors of its affiliate supply business (NIE Supply) which will be part of NIE Energy along with PPB.

The model comprises an enduring solution in that it will still work when PPB no longer exists. Also PPB will not be involved in price setting of the PSO into a pence per Kwh charge across customer categories. This removes any concern that PPB may favour its affiliate in this process.

NIE have suggested in their response that the change in the arrangements do not facilitate either SEM or Directive compliance. For Directive compliance PPB and NIE Supply are being separated from NIE plc into NIE Energy which will be a sister company of NIE plc. Suppliers currently have a PSO agreement with NIE plc and the Authority is of the opinion that this arrangement should continue. Hence the new arrangement whereby T&D collect PSO revenues is as a consequence of the implementation of the new NIE energy model which is for Directive compliance.

NIE are correct in their assumption that the Authority does not wish PPB/NIE Energy to have access to their competitor's sales data. They suggest however that this data will be made public. Agreed procedure 6 "Data Publication" version 3.2a which was

Condition 54	In 4(b), the wording should reflect the need for PSO-paying Parties to be able to calculate the exact amount of their PSO charges. If the rates of PSO charges are published, then liability for PSO charges will be fully defined.	Airtricity.	published in March 2007 states in appendix 2 that energy charges to supplier units are private data. Pursuant to the Authority's decision to opt for option 1 paragraph 4 (b) has been removed from this condition. Supplier's ability to calculate charges will be dealt in the NIE plc Transmission licence.
Condition 54	The inclusion of a PSO condition (no. 54) in PPB's licence is only required if PPB continues to collect PSO revenues. Regarding the proposed options for PSO collection in Northern Ireland. ESBI favours option 1, which has the virtue of simplicity, with option 2 ranking second. Our principal concern in this area is the transparency in the setting of the NI PSO.	ESBI/ESBIE	The question of transparency in the setting of the NI PSO will be dealt with separately from this licence consultation.
Condition 55	NIE has made representations as part of the separate consultation on SRMC bidding principles; there are a number of issues specific to the position of PPB as intermediary bidding into the Pool in relation to energy sourced under legacy power purchase agreements. NIE continues to have grave concerns over whether the proposed Condition is practical for PPB, whether the proposals deliver the right result for Northern Ireland customers, and whether PPB can secure compliance with the Condition. There is also a need to consider the knock on	NIE	This condition has been further developed and is part of the consultation on NI generation licences and will also be consulted on separately by the Authority. All queries regarding it will be dealt with in those two public consultations. It is therefore unnecessary to make comment regarding this response in this paper.

	effect on the PSO charges.		
Condition 55	We note the RA's statement that this condition is likely to be subject to modifications as a result of representations made in the course of consultations on the Northern Ireland generation licences. We await the revised wording before commenting further but our assumption is that the condition will closely align to recent wording provided by CER in the draft RoI generation licences. In relation to Condition 55 (8), we note the required certification is being sought retrospectively whereas the RoI licence stipulates certification in advance. However, as the condition is subject to change, this may have already been picked up.	ESB Regulatory Affairs.	This condition has been further developed and is part of the consultation on NI generation licences and will also be consulted on separately by the Authority. All queries regarding it will be dealt with in those two public consultations. It is therefore unnecessary to make comment regarding this response in this paper.
Condition 55	In 2, the definition of SRMC does not appear to match the requirements of the TSC. The rules require complex bids, so that each component can reflect the SRMC of that particular aspect of generation operation; startup, no-load, output level etc. The wording might therefore be better phrased as "the short run marginal cost related to that price component of that generation set". 3(b) and 4(a) refer to the costs of ownership and replacement cost of cost-items during a Trading Day. How does this deal with plant items that wear out over time, depending on a	Airtricity	This condition has been further developed and is part of the consultation on NI generation licences and will also be consulted on separately by the Authority. All queries regarding it will be dealt with in those two public consultations. It is therefore unnecessary to make comment regarding this response in this paper.

	<u></u>		<u> </u>
	combination of both running time and output		
	level. Allocation of the cost of expensive plant		
	components that fall into this category must be		
	clear, to avoid definitional ambiguities that may		
	affect Pool price by introducing unexpected		
	price spikes.		
	SRMC bidding rules should also be explicit as		
	to whether the cost of plant components that fail		
	to reach their expected service life can be		
	recovered through an add-on to SRMC bids or		
	not. Perhaps this level of detail is best		
	addressed in Directions issued by the Authority		
	(4(b), but we believe it must be documented if		
	the bidding rules are to achieve their objective.		
	In 8, it is not clear what is meant by "any other		
	party". Is this intended to refer to another		
	entity, a Party to the Code, or another		
	Participant in the SEM? This should be		
	clarified by providing a definition of "party" in		
	this particular context.		
Condition	Synergen responded to the Draft Kilroot	Synergen	This condition has been further developed and is part
55	Licence (Condition 23) regarding SRMC	•	of the consultation on NI generation licences and will
	bidding principles. It notes that the wording of		also be consulted on separately by the Authority. All
	the PPB Licence reflects the wording that was		queries regarding it will be dealt with in those two
	proposed in the Kilroot Licence. Synergen		public consultations. It is therefore unnecessary to
	notes that there is a revised SRMC licence		make comment regarding this response in this paper.
	condition proposed in the RoI Licences, and		
	understands that this will be consulted on in the		
	second round of NI generation licence		
	consultations. Synergen will comment		

	separately on the revised condition in its response to the draft generation licences. Synergen understands that the PPB licence will reflect the final versions of the generation licences – which will be identical in this regard in each jurisdiction. Synergen strongly supports the RAs view that licences will be identical in each jurisdiction given that the SEM is "one market".		
Condition 55	ESBI understands that the bidding principle condition (no. 55) in this licence will be changed in view of the decision to change this condition in the Kilroot licence (which is being used as a NI generation licence template) and that any changes will be in line with the condition as set out in the RoI generation licences. While we welcome this, we would like clarification of the comment in the paper on the Kilroot licence consultation which suggested that the contracted generators might be exempt from this condition, in light of the consultation on the cancellation of the NI generator contracts.	ESBI/ESBIE	This condition has been further developed and is part of the consultation on NI generation licences and will also be consulted on separately by the Authority. All queries regarding it will be dealt with in those two public consultations. It is therefore unnecessary to make comment regarding this response in this paper.

Condition	
57	

This Condition should be consistent with the corresponding provisions in the Generation Licences of Generators with plant subject to legacy power purchase agreements and any changes should be incorporated into both Licences. We also note that NIAUR is consulting on transition licence conditions, and that PPB and relevant Generators would be directed to enter into the initial Intermediary Agreements. It is therefore not clear what is intended by paragraph 2 of this Condition which seems to provide another default position.

Also, given the purpose of the Intermediary Agreement, once established the parties should review the agreement and propose changes (subject to NIAUR approval) where any additional matter needs to be addressed. It would not be appropriate, given the contractual nature of the underlying documents and market arrangements, for changes to be required at the initiative of NIAUR from time to time as currently proposed. Paragraph 3(c) should therefore be restricted to directions given from time to time under paragraph 4.

As a minor point, the words "of the Licensee" should be deleted from Paragraph 3(a) of this Condition.

NIE

Paragraphs one and two of this condition have been re-drafted to more accurately define the Authority's intentions, namely that only in the event of non-agreement between the parties will the Authority determine the form and content of the Intermediary Agreement. It also allows for the Authority to modify the agreement even in the case of agreement between parties. New paragraph 3 allows the Authority by direction to instruct the licensee to enter into the agreement whose form and content it has decided by a specified date and new paragraph 4 ensures compliance by the licensee with the intermediary agreement.

Paragraph 3 (c) has been removed from this condition. Also the inclusion of the new paragraph 6 allows the licensee in conjunction with the relevant generator to propose amendments to the agreement. The inclusion of the new paragraph 7 ensures that any such proposed amendment be approved by the Authority.

The words "of the licensee" have been removed and the reference to "power procurement business of the licensee" has been replaced with "the licensee".

Condition	This Condition has the potential for wide-	PPB concerns are noted by the Authority.
59	ranging impacts on NIE (and in due course,	
	NIE Energy's) business. It is therefore	
	important that it be properly circumscribed so	
	that it does not prevent proper functioning of	
	NIE Energy's business. NIE has a number of	
	significant concerns over the scope and drafting	
	of this Condition. We make some general	
	comments here, but would welcome a	Paragraph 3 (b) has been redrafted. The inclusion of
	discussion on this further.	the words "except as provided for in the PTIA or
	We note that some aspects of paragraph 3	PSIA" allows for the effective operation of these
	replicate aspects of the TO licence that are not	agreements between T&D and SONI and PPB.
	appropriate or applicable to PPB in the same	
	way, such as paragraph 3(b). For example,	
	some aspects, such as paragraph 3(c), lack	
	clarity as to what is intended to be achieved, in	Paragraph 3 (c) has been redrafted to allow PPB to
	particular as to the meaning of "decision" and	fulfil its obligations under the PPAs and Intermediary
	"business". As currently worded, paragraph	agreements.
	3(c) would cause PPB to be in breach of its	
	licence by fulfilling its normal obligations	
	under the PPAs and Intermediary Agreements.	
	A specific exception is required relating to	
	NFFO plant. In addition, the words "(Except as	
	provided in the PPB / SONI Interface	The words "except as provided for in the PSIA and/or
	Agreement and/or the PPB / T&D Interface	PTIA" have been included at the beginning of
	Agreement)" should be inserted at the start of	paragraph 3 (b).
	paragraph 3(b).	
	A number of aspects of paragraph 3(d) go well	
	beyond what would be required for the	

purposes of the SEM and implementation of the Directive, and it would be useful to understand what is sought to be properly achieved so that the provisions can be properly circumscribed. In particular, regarding paragraph 3(d)(i), we do not believe that PPB needs to be separately located from NIE Energy's supply business. However, to allay any possible concern, security access arrangements could be put in place.

The three month "gardening leave" restriction imposed by paragraph 3(e)(ii) is inappropriate as a blanket requirement for all employees. The wording in parentheses starting on line four should be changed to provide the requisite flexibility to enable the appropriate approach to be taken to different types of employees: "(being a period of 3 months or such shorter period as the Authority may direct in respect of any person or class of persons)".

The restriction on employees and directors contained in Paragraph 3(e) should in any event apply only to generation and supply and should not cover transmission and distribution.

The first section of paragraph 3(g) should be amended as follows: "it, in so far as is legally possible and without prejudice to their general duties as directors, ensures that, in making any decisions relating to the Power Procurement Business, the directors of the Licensee:"

The Authority is of the opinion that for effective independence from NIE Supply the Power Procurement Business should be located in separate premises.

The Authority sympathises with this point and paragraph 3(e)(ii) has been redrafted to allow for a lesser period than three months with Authority approval.

The redrafting of paragraph 3(e)(i) now makes reference only to generation and supply. References to transmission and distribution have been removed.

This point has been noted and paragraph 3(g) has been amended to include the words "and without prejudice to their general duties as directors"

Condition	As stated in our response to AIP consultation	ESB	Separation of NIE plc for Directive purposes is a
59	paper AIP/SEM/07/561 ESB is concerned	Regulatory	matter for the Department of Enterprise, Trade and
	regarding the proposal to have a single licence	Affairs.	Investment (DETI).
	for NIE Supply and the PPB. Our belief is that		
	NIE Supply and NIE PPB should be separated		
	both in respect of licence requirements and		
	operationally. Neither the draft Electricity		
	Supply Licence nor the draft PPB licence		
	conditions appear to require the complete and		
	effective separation of the supply business from		
	the PPB business.		
	The definitions used in the different parts of the		The definition of associated business seems to the
	licence contribute to the lack of clarity.		Authority to be clear and concise. It is any business
	Condition 13 requires the Supply Business not		of the licensee (or of any affiliate or related
	to disclose commercially sensitive information		undertaking of the licensee) other than PPB itself and
	to "Regulated Businesses" which includes the		the relevant holding company. For the avoidance of
	PPB. Condition 59 requires managerial and		doubt NIE supply is an associated business of PPB.
	operational independence of the PPB from any		Also Energia and Huntstown are associated
	"Associated Business". It is not clear, however,		businesses of PPB.
	that this definition includes the supply business.		
	We also request clarification that the definition		
	of "Associated Business" includes Energia and Huntstown.		
	The conditions regarding business separation and ring fencing for both entities should have		The RAs are of the view that the conditions
	equivalent obligations to those of the Republic		developed for the PPB licence remain appropriate
	of Ireland licences. These conditions should		given the arrangements under which the PPB activity
	include for the provision regarding the		will be licensed in Northern Ireland. The conditions
	protection of commercially sensitive		that have been developed are consistent with those
	information prohibition of the concurrent use		developed for other licences in Northern Ireland as

information, prohibition of the concurrent use

	of staff/consultants in separate parts of the business and a code of conduct for the transfer/movement of staff between businesses. In relation to condition 59 (1), we note that nothing in the licence prevents a director of the holding company from being a director of an associated business. The Regulators recent position paper on the acquisition of Viridian Group plc, outlines the intention to impose a licence condition obliging at least half of NIE's board to be independent non-executives. The paper notes that the introduction of the SEM and full retail competition in Northern Ireland make it particularly important that NIE's interests are seen as separate from those of the rest of the Viridian Group. We would like to understand how this requirement will be reflected in this licence.		part of the implementation of the SEM/Directive. It is noted that it is intended that additional detail of obligations of this nature will be set out in the Compliance Plan.
Condition 59	3(c), might be strengthened by rewording the first line to read, "relating to any associated business or affiliate in the generation or supply". It is not clear from the published parts of the	Airtricity	The definition of associated business includes affiliates.

	Licence, what the "activities of the Power		Part IV of the licence is the conditions in this draft.
	Procurement Business" are (Part IV of the		Part IV will be conditions governing PPB in the
	Licence?). If it is intended to act as the energy		overall NIE Energy Licence.
	trading arm of the NIE supply business, then it		6,
	would be reasonable to permit the two to have a		
	common brand. If PPB is supposed to act as a		The proposed arrangements for separation of
	proxy generator, acting for the legacy		businesses are considered to be appropriate.
	generators and trading "independently" with all		
	market Participants, then it would be reasonable		
	to require the business to have a separately		
	branded identity.		
	We would welcome clarification on the market		
	relationship between PPB, the various other		
	market entities owned by Viridian Group and		
	the rest of the market. The Licence should		
	define PPB's brand identity in this context.		
	We would expect that the Authority's approval		The Authority notes this point. Contact details for the
	of the compliance plan, as described in 6, would		compliance manager will be held by the Authority in
	be dependent on the inclusion of contact details		the event of non-publication on the website.
	for the compliance manager being published on		
	the website, to facilitate receipt of the		
	representations or complaints envisioned in		
G 11.1	13(c).		
Condition	This condition (Section 1) relates to the "full	Synergen	The RAs have updated this condition in light of
59	managerial and operational independence of the		comments received. Generally, it is noted that it is
	Power Procurement Board" from any		intended that more detailed matters relating to
	Associated Business. Specific duties are set out		independence will be dealt with in the Compliance Plan.
	in section 3. The key requirement is that there		rian.
	will be effective ring fencing provisions between the "regulated" businesses and		
	between the regulated businesses and		

"unregulated" businesses... For clarity, "regulated" relates to the main legacy businesses (in this case the NIE PES, the PPB and the wires businesses) while "unregulated" relates to the IPPs and non-franchise supply businesses (e.g. Huntstown and Energia). Synergen supports the intent of this condition and believes that "full managerial operational independence" should cover: • the disclosure of commercially confidential information (such as but not limited to plant availability data, bidding strategies and pre-gate closure prices etc); • the sharing of any software systems via common purchase and / or sharing of central data; • the sharing of modelling / forecasting data; • the restrictions on the movement of personnel between Separate Business - consistent with those that apply to movements between a number of the ESB Businesses; and • the isolation of e-mail addresses and systems – such that PPB employees have separate e-mail addresses and the e-mail servers are isolated from the main NIE network. In particular, any market monitoring operations should assess the interaction of within group availabilities, constraints and price outcomes. This should apply cross jurisdiction across all

related affiliates.

Condition	We welcome the condition of independence of	ESBI/ESBIE	The proposed arrangements for separation of
59	the PPB (no. 59) but note that there are no		businesses are considered to be appropriate for the
	specific requirements of the kind which ESBI is		PPB activity.
	obliged to fulfil in its ring-fenced businesses		
	regarding staff transfers, access to telephone		
	and computer systems, sharing of confidential		
	information and use of consultants.		
Condition	This Condition crosses over a number of issues	NIE	This condition has been redrafted to allow PPB to
60	being considered as part of the separate		manage the existing power purchase agreements
	consultation on the role of PPB following the		where that might result in a new agreement being
	introduction of the SEM, and we would		entered into in relation to such a contract. The
	anticipate that the outcome of that consultation		inclusion of new paragraph 1 (c) allows for PPB to
	would be reflected here. This Condition also		enter into contracts for differences but these cannot
	needs to recognise and except PPB's actions in		have effect after 1 November 2010.
	relation to the EPO requirement.		
	The Condition should not inhibit the ability to		Condition 46 of part III of this licence (i.e the NIE
	'manage' the existing power purchase		Energy Licence) is an Economic Purchase Obligation
	agreements where that might technically result		and PPB as the licensee will be bound by its terms.
	in a new agreement being entered into in		Paragraph 3 of that condition states that as well as
	relation to such a contract. There are a number		determining the effective price at which electricity is
	of examples of this, and we would be happy to		purchased "regard shall be had to any payments made
	discuss that further with you. Perhaps the		or received or to be made or received for the grant of
	easiest way to achieve this would be to exempt		or pursuant to any electricity purchase contract".
	the existing power purchase agreements (not		
	just contractual commitments) and agreements		
	entered into related to those existing contracts.		
Condition	As stated in our comments above, without Part	Airtricity	This draft of PPB licence conditions will constitute
60	IV of the licence, it is unclear what the		Part IV of the new NIE Energy Licence which will
	activities of the Power Procurement Business		govern both NIE Supply and PPB as the two sections

	are. This Condition reads as though the DDD is		of NIE Energy
	are. This Condition reads as though the PPB is		of NIE Energy.
	intended to be wound up on cancellation of the		
	last legacy contract. Are CfDs regarded as		
	electricity purchase contracts for the purpose of		
	this condition and if so, will PPB be directed to		
	enter into such contracts for hedging purposes		
	to manage imbalances and thereby allowed to		
	trade with other participants on an appropriate		
	power exchange?		PPB will be actively trading in CFDs and this
	We believe it is important for PPB to be		condition has been redrafted to reflect this PPB
	allowed to trade with other Participants, in		activity.
	order to provide liquidity in the contract market.		
	For the sake of clarity, this Condition should be		
	developed to include appropriate definitions.		
	Tr r		
Condition	PPB will be part of the same corporate entity as	NIE	Paragraph 2 (b) has been redrafted and now includes
61	NIE Supply, and there are certain consequences		the words "act in a manner designed so as to obtain a
	of that - for example, both businesses would be		commercial advantage"
	trading in the TSC separately, but as one		
	corporate entity. The drafting of the TSC		
	currently, in relation for example to defaults		
	and payment, means that actions of PPB could		
	impact on NIE Supply and vice versa, and		
	therefore make it impossible for PPB to confirm		
	that this condition as drafted would not be		
	impacted by it being a party to the TSC. There		
	is therefore a need to consider the scope of the		
	proposed Condition further.		
	Also, we note that on undue discrimination the		The Authority is of the view that the wording does
	more usual wording that is adopted for this is in		not require change. In particular the words "undue
1	I HIOTO USUAL WOLUHIY HIAL IS AUODIGU IOL HIIS IS III		Hot require change. In particular the words unduc

	Condition 15(2) Part II of the current NIE licence, in terms of offering agreements, and Condition 13 Part III in terms of operating the transmission system. We do not see a reason to depart from the usual formulation adopted in relation to this.		preference" appear in Article 21 (4) of the Electricity Order so the use of this term is not unprecedented.
Condition 62	The definition of "relevant asset" is odd and requires further consideration.	NIE	The definition of "relevant asset" has been changed in this draft.
Condition 63	The definition of "power procurement energy sales revenue" needs to be amended to incorporate revenue relating to contracts for differences.	NIE	The definition of "power purchase energy sales revenue" has been changed in this draft.
Condition 63	This condition requires the PPB to submit their payment security policy to the Regulatory Authorities only. ESB is of the view that as an independent body all information should be made available and made public except where the information is commercially sensitive to generators.	ESB Regulatory Affairs	This suggested change by ESB Regulatory Affairs is not facilitative of SEM or the Directive 2003/54/EC.

Next Steps

The Regulatory Authorities request comments from interested parties in relation to this second draft of PPB conditions.

Following a consideration of the responses and other relevant matters (for example consequential changes arising from the consideration of other consultations etc.) it is expected that the enduring conditions of the licence will be finalised for implementation from SEM/Directive Go-active, planned for 3 July 2007. It is noted that a separate consultation exercise is being undertaken on the transitional conditions that it is proposed will also apply from SEM/Directive Go-active.

Comments should be forwarded, preferably in electronic form, to michael.campbell@niaur.gov.uk or posted to:

Michael Campbell Utility Regulator Queens House 14 Queen Street Belfast BT1 6ER.